

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/679,970 | 10/05/2000 | William E. Meyers | 6734-8 | 1257 | |
| 21324 | 7590 10/10/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP TWIN OAKS ESTATE | | | SUGARMAN | SUGARMAN, SCOTT J | |
| 1225 W. MARKET STREET AKRON, OH 44313 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2873 | - | |

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | f | R | | | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/679,970 | MEYERS, WILLIAM E. | | | | | |
| Navioury Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| 1 | Scott J. Sugarman | 2873 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | rrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper rech places the application | ply to a cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | | | | |
| (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | months after the mailing date of the final reje | ection, even if timely filed, | , may reduce any | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | | | | | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furt | her consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claii | ms. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje | ection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | • | eparate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request 1 application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | | |
| | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | s: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-6,8-12 and 14-21.

10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Scott J/Sygarman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2873 Continuation of 10. Other: Note, as the claim reads now, the two origins must be coaxial because a line can be drawn between any two points. The Examiner will consider language that relates the "optical axis" to the non-coaxial limitation—it is believed that this is the intention of the claims..